

long walking-sticks, they squatted in a circle around us and began to play us with such an infinity of questions of nonsensical import that we were taxed to our best endeavors in answering. They spent the spare time during this inquisitorial operation in dabbling small rings of vermilion around their eyes. The effect of this singular ornament was to heighten further their sinister and cruel appearance, and when the tout ensemble was completed by covering the remainder of the face with soot and grease one could not but imagine that even the least self-respecting devil would not feel greatly flattered by acquaintance with these villainous-looking earthly companions.

A THREATENING CROWD.

Nor did their exterior belie their real nature. Discovering that we were not deeply impressed by this threatening outward appearance they grew insolent, and finally aggressive. In boastful tones demanding that we should be satisfied with the privilege of halting in their village, at the same time advancing overt threats at punishment should we fail to comply. It had been our previous intention to make such presents, but irritated by the insolence of their behavior and their seeming desire to provoke hostility, we resolved for the sake of example, not to be intimidated by their loud sounding and grandiloquent boasts, and with tones matching theirs in vigor, announced our determination not to be coerced. With a suggestive leer one of their number pointed to a group of scowling natives behind; imitating his example, in a glared the direction of the "well-armed and alert Kiangs," at the same time movement was not lost, for the comparison must have been dissatisfying. As we fancied their aggressiveness was only as strong as they flattered themselves would be our fear of their threats, for, after a hurried whispering consultation, in milder tones, they announced their earnest desire to form with us a pact of good will and placed several of the larger dwellings at our disposal. We, in turn, not to be outdone in generosity, gave to each a present to cement the bonds of good-fellowship and the entente cordiale was resumed.

For the time being, however, it seemed as if we had escaped the trying part but to leap into the fire. The natives themselves, seeing the results that had attended the efforts of their priests, and ascribing the success of the latter to our fears of their menacing attitude—gathered around in surly groups, uttering covert threats and assuming a generally hostile appearance of which there could be no mistaking the tenor. As we continued to ignore these demands the shouts rose louder, and finally several of the more turbulent spirits, taking the precaution to retire to a distance, began throwing stones toward us. There could be no overlooking of this undesired aggressiveness, and with glances toward time to attempt further resistance. With half a dozen of the Kiangs, charged down on the bellicose group, and, by laying about them vigorously with the pack-staves, gave them to understand that we were fully able and determined to take care of ourselves. The effect of this drastic treatment was not lost, for immediately there was a wild scampering in all directions, and when later in the evening, they returned, there could be no mistaking the insincerity in their suave and smiling faces, the effects of this show of spirit on our part had not vanished, and we were spared further annoyance.

SUBSTANTIAL HOUSES.

We remained in camp at Kirkan Bouk until the morning of the 10th, owing to the recurrence of bad weather and the lameness of several of the Kiangs, who, from the arduous journeying of the preceding days, were fairly used up and to-tally incapable of taking the road. We profited by this absence from labor to make observations in the village and the country immediately surrounding. Although our initial reception was not conducive to charitable feelings, it was a pleasant surprise to find the people somewhat more agreeable than our preconceptions of them had allowed. The village itself contained nearly fifty dwellings, fashioned after the usual Tibetan style of loose stones piled on top of each other and held together by a cement of unbaked mud. Several of the more important were two stories in height, from fifty to seventy feet long, and about half as wide, the lower floor serving as the abode of the family, and the upper for house for food, and the upper stories for human habitations. There were no windows of any kind, sufficient light and air being let in by small crevices two or three feet high, cut in the wall at intervals of ten or twelve feet, and reached from the ground by notched poles, or rope ladders run from the long thick beams of the yak. The smaller dwellings were the abodes of single families, but the larger ones seemed to be a sort of co-operative arrangement, several families assisting in their construction and living in as friendly neighborliness and union as residents of similar apartment structures in more favored lands.

How the native population are able to escape serious illness is quite beyond human understanding, for the interiors of these abodes are little better than pesthouses. Both light and fresh air are excluded, as the doorway invariably is covered with a flap of yakskin, rendered stiff and breathing inside of one of these hovels a hardship not to be undergone uncomplainingly. The family occupies one large central room, with an ovenlike fireplace sunk in the middle of the floor, used indiscriminately as a resting place for dogs—who seem to form an important part of the population to the human population—as bed places for the occupants, and as a general receptacle for all the filth and excrement of the apartment. The extreme poverty of the country is reflected in the scanty possessions of the inhabitants, who, aside from having permanent dwellings, possess no other worldly goods than the miserable wandering nomads of the plain. The sterile nature of the soil renders successful agricultural pursuit impossible, obliging recourse to grazing and trading to keep alive the thin spark of existence. Although I visited many of these dwellings, I failed to see a single farm implement, and even the simplest utensils of domestic economy were limited to a few earthen pots and small bowls made of iron or hollowed out of stone.

PRIMITIVE CHAPING DISH.

In one of these dwellings I noticed a peculiar form of dish, which is in quite common use in this region, and here described. A large oblong block of stone is first hollowed out, leaving a thin ridge in the center, thereby dividing it into two parts. A yak-skin is stripped of the outside hair, carefully beaten with small stones, and then sun and air until it is perfectly dried. The interior of the bowl is lined with this crude leather covering, it being held in position by a composition of glue and cement. The outside of the skin, being covered with an adhesive substance, soon hardens to almost adamant firmness and is carefully scraped and polished until a surface of glassy smoothness has been formed. This curious kitchen utensil is the one generally utilized in the preparation of all liquid food, the method of procedure being as follows: The water or other mixture is placed in one of the receptacles, while a number of lumps of meat or vegetables are added to the other. The mixture is heated, dropped into the compartment. This is continued until the cooking process has been completed. Although the

operation is a laborious one, it offers the practical advantages of allowing the preparation of several delicacies at one time, and likewise prevents the discommoding of the master of the establishment, or the legion of dogs, should they have pre-empted the fireplace. Though less wasteful than the savage nomads, the natives of Kurkan Bouk were much better armed, many had spears of excellent workmanship, and long blades of which they seemed to be enormously proud. We subsequently learned, however, that these were not of their own manufacture, but were purchased from the traders during their periodical summer visits. A few of the more important men had long rifled matchlocks, with forked rest, in general use throughout Tibet and central Asia; these doubtless were held more as prized possessions, and as conferring a sort of distinction on their respective owners, since ammunition is very scarce in the region. The most remarkable feature of construction the operation of firing one of these instruments might recall with disastrous effect on the head of the unfortunate individual so rash as to attempt it.

As regards the natives of Kurkan Bouk, and in general the whole of the country south of the Churing-Nor, it is almost impossible to define their ethnological distinctions with any degree of certainty. Of all the mixed communities of the much-mixed east this country offers a more varied object lesson in interracial complications than any other locality of its size in the world. The predominating race is of the Mongoloid type, and the Mongols proper and simple, with a mixture of typical Tibetans, while the nomads are either Tanguts or Tourgouths, although from intermarriage and subsequent complete isolation from each other the varying distinctions in their physical traits and their different modes of life have evolved numerous tribes, forming in the wide divergence of their customs so many separate races. The Mongols and Tibetans in general are rather short, although many of the women are quite tall (on several occasions I have seen several of the latter nearly six feet in height), but they are all of a powerful build, and capable of enduring the most rigorous hardships. Their faces, even without the adornment of various colored pigments, in which practice they seem to delight, are flat and expressionless, and in general repulsively ugly. In a typical specimen the skin is light brown, the face broad and flattened, the nose is broad and prominent, the nose compressed until nearly all trace of the organ is lost, and the eyes small and slanting. Among the men the head is entirely shaved, excepting a small tuft hanging on the back of the crown, by which the titular dignity of its possessor is denoted, the longer, however, the hair is allowed to grow, the more it is valued. Among the women, however, the hair is allowed to grow to its natural length, and is either gathered in long braids down the back or spread on a framework of transverse sticks extending at right angles to the sides of the head.

THEIR CHARACTERISTICS.

While in the scale of civilization the nomads and tent-dwelling Tanguts and Tourgouths of this district are on a much lower plane of advancement than the natives of the settled villages, physically they are a much superior race. Both men and women are fairly good looking, judging by the standards of the civilized world, and the extreme regularity of feature is seen. Even fair hair and blue eyes are met occasionally, while their constant outdoor life and the never-ending hardships to which they are subjected, have given them a certain freedom of carriage and robustness of countenance that many of the settled Mongols. There is no divergence of dress between the villagers and nomads, both sexes wearing the long padded yakskin robes and sheepskin coats in general use throughout central Asia.

As is but natural to suppose, where physical conditions are so different, there is a similar divergence in their religious beliefs. Among the Mongols and Tibetans a sort of hybrid Buddhism is in vogue, which extends to some of the Tangut and Tourgouth nomad tribes, but among these latter Mohammedanism claims the greatest number of adherents, and the latter, in fact, the precepts of their various codes shows a striving after something more substantial than mere dogma and theory, consequently numerous minor gods and superstitious conditions have been introduced into their beliefs, in no wise dissimilar from African fetishism.

The various strange customs and ceremonial rites of these tribes are very complex. Owing to their infinite number, it would be impossible to go into detail as they were practiced among the different tribes, but I gathered the following from observation and from questioning some of the natives, while a number of the customs which I describe are those in common use among the Mongols of the settled villages.

When a young man becomes attached to a girl, and wishes to marry her, he seeks her in secret, and obtains her consent. That part of the ceremony which is of the greatest business of such affairs of the heart, to treat with the girl's parents regarding the presents which it will be necessary to give in order to obtain their consent. This being arranged, the lama who has undertaken the negotiation informs the lover, at the same time demanding a generous bribe for his services. Under such conditions are favorable the suitor announces his acquiescence and the lama returns to the girl's parents with a sheep or other present sent by the young man. From this moment the lover is not to see the father and mother, but the bride is to be the greatest care to avoid them, for by chance, they perceive him, they cover their faces, as if all the ties of friendship were broken. This indifference, however, is only feigned, for they feel the same affection as before, and, in conversation, extol one another by the name of "brother" and "sister." The marriage is solemnized by the giving of a feast by the parents of the bride to the husband and his friends, the principal feature of which is a sort of ritual chorus of laudation, the friends of the groom extolling his worth and merits in extravagant terms, while the bride's friends performed for the bride by her parents and numerous relations. When the marriage is celebrated, the husband may take away his wife forthwith, if he has a horse, or yak, or camel; in that case the father of the bride supplies his daughter with clothing, and the groom, in turn, provides for the bride. If the husband has no animal, he leaves his wife with her parents until he can procure one, for it would be a terrible disgrace if the woman was brought to her future home on foot, although this contingency rarely occurs, as in most cases it should have the necessity that the suitor should have the consent of the bride's parents before he could obtain it. Marriage here, it would seem, is not a luxury for the poor man. Although Prejevalsky and others have asserted that both polygamy and polyandry are practiced in this region I failed to observe the existence of either. Judging by savage standards, domestic morals are exemplary. The lot of women is a hard one, seeing that a great part of the manual labor of the community falls on their shoulders, but, on the whole, they are well treated, and seem to have more influence in the community than is usual in countries of the same type.

Divorces or infractions of the marital code are of rare occurrence. When a husband and wife cannot agree, or are desirous of separation, one of them quarrels with the other on a previously arranged pretext, the wife strikes the husband with her open hand on the face before witnesses and they part without further ceremony. If there are children the boys go with the father and the girls remain with the mother, unless the latter remarries; then the girls also are returned to the male parent.

The various customs attending birth and death are much simpler. When a child is born its body is rubbed all over with fat or fresh butter, which is also given to its mother to take; her face is likewise rubbed with it, and she eats nothing but meat until her complete recovery. In this function no distinction is made between the sexes; in contrast with the races of southern and eastern Tibet, in this region children of the female sex are shown as much consideration as the males, except in matters of inheritance. When a man dies his wife receives one-fourth of his goods; the mother of the deceased has a tenth of the other three-fourths, and the father a fourth. The remainder, the children's share, which is thus reduced to one-half, is divided that each boy shall have twice as much as each girl. At the death of both husband and wife without offspring the property goes back to the ascending line, for collateral branches never inherit.

Neither among the Mongols nor nomads are there observed any lengthy or intricate ceremonial rites on occasions of death or burial. In the case of a man the body is allowed to remain in his former dwelling for a space of two or three days, during which the lama recite prayers and drive away the evil spirits supposed to beset it. The hair is then cut, the body is placed in a bag, and along with other possessions of the deceased buried with the body or worn around the neck of his widow. Presents are made to the lamas to discover a suitable place for burial, and the body is interred in the earth, the lama performing a kind of funeral service. If the deceased was a female, or a young child of either sex, there is no ceremony whatsoever. The parent merely takes the body and buries it at the first convenient place presenting itself, or else buries it in a yak skin, and drops it into the current of some swiftly-rushing stream. The Mongols (those following the precepts of the Buddhist faith) evidently do not believe in the further earthly existence of the spirits of the dead—at least I have failed to notice anything that would justify this belief. On the other hand, the nomads, Mohammedan Tanguts and Tourgouths, readily accept the theory that the spirits of deceased members of their tribes either enter the bodies of living members or else take possession of the bodies of animals, and in a measure impart their character to the beast or human being whose body it occupies. Thus, if in a certain hunt the attacked animal should show signs of unusual wariness or subtlety, its pursuit is immediately abandoned, from the belief that its spiritually-acquired traits will render further attack extremely dangerous for the hunter. Likewise, in certain seasons of the year, when spirits are supposed to be unusually active, no hunting is indulged in, even though the natives should be forced to undergo a lengthy period of starvation in consequence.

hand and wife cannot agree, or are desirous of separation, one of them quarrels with the other on a previously arranged pretext, the wife strikes the husband with her open hand on the face before witnesses and they part without further ceremony. If there are children the boys go with the father and the girls remain with the mother, unless the latter remarries; then the girls also are returned to the male parent.

The various customs attending birth and death are much simpler. When a child is born its body is rubbed all over with fat or fresh butter, which is also given to its mother to take; her face is likewise rubbed with it, and she eats nothing but meat until her complete recovery. In this function no distinction is made between the sexes; in contrast with the races of southern and eastern Tibet, in this region children of the female sex are shown as much consideration as the males, except in matters of inheritance. When a man dies his wife receives one-fourth of his goods; the mother of the deceased has a tenth of the other three-fourths, and the father a fourth. The remainder, the children's share, which is thus reduced to one-half, is divided that each boy shall have twice as much as each girl. At the death of both husband and wife without offspring the property goes back to the ascending line, for collateral branches never inherit.

Neither among the Mongols nor nomads are there observed any lengthy or intricate ceremonial rites on occasions of death or burial. In the case of a man the body is allowed to remain in his former dwelling for a space of two or three days, during which the lama recite prayers and drive away the evil spirits supposed to beset it. The hair is then cut, the body is placed in a bag, and along with other possessions of the deceased buried with the body or worn around the neck of his widow. Presents are made to the lamas to discover a suitable place for burial, and the body is interred in the earth, the lama performing a kind of funeral service. If the deceased was a female, or a young child of either sex, there is no ceremony whatsoever. The parent merely takes the body and buries it at the first convenient place presenting itself, or else buries it in a yak skin, and drops it into the current of some swiftly-rushing stream. The Mongols (those following the precepts of the Buddhist faith) evidently do not believe in the further earthly existence of the spirits of the dead—at least I have failed to notice anything that would justify this belief. On the other hand, the nomads, Mohammedan Tanguts and Tourgouths, readily accept the theory that the spirits of deceased members of their tribes either enter the bodies of living members or else take possession of the bodies of animals, and in a measure impart their character to the beast or human being whose body it occupies. Thus, if in a certain hunt the attacked animal should show signs of unusual wariness or subtlety, its pursuit is immediately abandoned, from the belief that its spiritually-acquired traits will render further attack extremely dangerous for the hunter. Likewise, in certain seasons of the year, when spirits are supposed to be unusually active, no hunting is indulged in, even though the natives should be forced to undergo a lengthy period of starvation in consequence.

QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS.

What is the tariff on wool?—W. W. From 1 cent to 12 cents a pound, according to grade.

Where is the key to the battle?—J. W. L. It is an exhibit at Mount Vernon in the old Washington mansion.

When is the St. Louis fair to begin?—Q. X. In the spring of 1903, according to present plans.

What is the average yield of cotton in the United States?—N. R. C. The average crop for the last ten years has been 8,966,373 bales.

How and when did King George III of England come to his death?—A. J. B. At Windsor, Jan. 29, 1820; for ten years he had been insane and blind.

What was the doctrine of the mean?—A very old philosophical treatise generally attributed to Tse Sze, a grandson of the Chinese philosopher Confucius.

What are the areas and the population of Illinois and Texas?—C. W. Illinois, 42,352 sq. miles; population, 2,408,710. Texas, area, 266,011; population, 1,048,710.

What is the weight of the silver dollar now in use, and how much silver does it contain?—E. W. The weight is 42½ grains, nine-tenths of which is pure silver.

John M. Clayton, secretary of state during the administration of Andrew Johnson, was a native of what State?—F. E. S. Delaware.

Will you name the author of the oft-quoted line, "Every cloud has a silver lining"?—R. H. H. This saying is traced by Brewer to "Did a sable cloud turn forth her silver lining" which is from Milton's "Comus."

Who discovered that sugar could be made from maple sap, and when and where?—L. M. M. The most American Indian, in the early days of our colonies some of the savages made a feast of sugar gathering.

What has become of Mr. Clark, who wrote under the name of Max Adler?—H. S. H. This is Charles Heber Clark, who is living in Philadelphia, we think. 2. Yes; in New Haven, Conn.

Q. C. California—The Express, Terre Haute; the News, Fort Wayne; the Review, Elkhart; the Commercial, Vincennes, and the Martin County Tribune, Logansport, are leading papers in the places named. We cannot give the names of the writers in this column, but you can get them by writing to the paper in each town.

What is the origin and meaning of the word "occure"? Also the origin of the word "oleomargarine"? It comes from a Turkish word, "olemet," and means fat, or appointed lot. 2. It is compounded of oleo, from the Latin oleum, oil, and margarin, the name given to the solid fatty matter of vegetable oils and to a pearlike substance extracted from lard.

Please give the present dog law.—G. A. S. For a male dog a tax of \$1 must be paid, for a female dog \$2; for each additional male dog \$2, and for each additional female dog \$2, this tax going to the township trustee. In addition, a license fee may be exacted in incorporated towns for each dog owned by persons living within the corporate limits.

Will you give a formula, if there is any, for removing printing ink from paper?—J. E. H. Here is the formula, but it won't work every time, nor will anything else: Put a thick blotting paper beneath the soiled sheet, apply sulphuric ether with cotton

wool, rubbing gently, and finally apply fresh blotting paper to absorb the color. Many repetitions of these processes will be necessary.

With what countries has Greece been at war? 2. Which is of the most recent date, and who was ruler at the time? 3. Had he a son? 4. What was his name?—T. A. In modern times Turkey has been her chief opponent, the last war occurring in 1897. George I then was King of Greece. His sons were I then, Prince Constantine the eldest.

Will you tell me something about the novel, Anthony Hope?—A. S. He is Anthony Hope Hawkins, second son of a London family, was born Feb. 3, 1863, and is unmarried. He was educated at Marlborough and at Balliol College, Oxford, and became a barrister of the Middle Temple in 1887, practicing until 1894, when he gave up law for literary work. Beginning in 1890, his publications have been numerous.

What are the ingredients of bronze? 2. Is the metal malleable?—M. R. M. It is composed of copper and tin, and sometimes manganese or manganese replaces the tin for some sorts. The proportion of copper ranges from two-thirds to eleven-fifths, according to the sort of bronze. 2. It is malleable, though not to such a degree as is copper, and, curiously, is not malleable must be heated red-hot and quenched in water, a process that makes steel brittle.

What prevents an oblong projectile from turning end over end after leaving the cannon's muzzle?—Phil. The oblong shot over rounds once—Phil. The rotary motion imparted to it by the cannon's rifling. Before smooth-bore guns were used, many experiments were made with wind-mill shot, that is, shot that was to set the shot rotating. These were not effective, and the oblong shot were reserved exclusively for rifled guns. 2. Greater range for the same velocities at the muzzle, greater penetration for the same striking velocity, greater capacity and better accuracy.

Will you approximate the English loss of life in the Boer war? I have seen it put at 14,000 to 15,000, which is either a typographical error or a falsification of the facts. The figures up to the end of the war, 14,972, not including losses in the colonial troops. The number of these in service was 11,000, and probably 750 should be added for their losses. The March deaths, 553, give a basis for estimating the loss in the Boer war. The total would be about 14,500, which is a large sum, but you should remember that it represents nearly one death for every two Boers engaged, and is nearly three times our losses in the Spanish war.

What is the mile way, and at what distance is it?—L. E. K. That it is a circle of nebulous, cloud-like light spanning the heavens, you doubtless know. That it is composed of stars too small to be visible singly to the naked eye, and that it is a true constellation, is one of the many unsolved problems of astronomy. Herschel had a celebrated theory of its structure, but later astronomers have voted it untenable. Newcomb wrote of it: "Probably it is a vast irregular ring of star-clusters, near the center of which the sun is situated. But no certain data exist for fixing the position of this ring among the other stars, and means of measuring the distance of the stars are too imperfect to enable such data to be collected."

What percent is the limit to the assessment of a man's estate?—J. A. The limit is 10 per cent. The assessment of a man's estate is the sum of the net assets of the estate, less the debts and liabilities of the decedent.

What is the difference between a man's estate and a woman's estate?—J. A. The difference is that a man's estate is assessed on the basis of his net assets, while a woman's estate is assessed on the basis of her net assets.

What is the difference between a man's estate and a woman's estate?—J. A. The difference is that a man's estate is assessed on the basis of his net assets, while a woman's estate is assessed on the basis of her net assets.

What is the difference between a man's estate and a woman's estate?—J. A. The difference is that a man's estate is assessed on the basis of his net assets, while a woman's estate is assessed on the basis of her net assets.

What is the difference between a man's estate and a woman's estate?—J. A. The difference is that a man's estate is assessed on the basis of his net assets, while a woman's estate is assessed on the basis of her net assets.

What is the difference between a man's estate and a woman's estate?—J. A. The difference is that a man's estate is assessed on the basis of his net assets, while a woman's estate is assessed on the basis of her net assets.

What is the difference between a man's estate and a woman's estate?—J. A. The difference is that a man's estate is assessed on the basis of his net assets, while a woman's estate is assessed on the basis of her net assets.

What is the difference between a man's estate and a woman's estate?—J. A. The difference is that a man's estate is assessed on the basis of his net assets, while a woman's estate is assessed on the basis of her net assets.

What is the difference between a man's estate and a woman's estate?—J. A. The difference is that a man's estate is assessed on the basis of his net assets, while a woman's estate is assessed on the basis of her net assets.

What is the difference between a man's estate and a woman's estate?—J. A. The difference is that a man's estate is assessed on the basis of his net assets, while a woman's estate is assessed on the basis of her net assets.

What is the difference between a man's estate and a woman's estate?—J. A. The difference is that a man's estate is assessed on the basis of his net assets, while a woman's estate is assessed on the basis of her net assets.

What is the difference between a man's estate and a woman's estate?—J. A. The difference is that a man's estate is assessed on the basis of his net assets, while a woman's estate is assessed on the basis of her net assets.

What is the difference between a man's estate and a woman's estate?—J. A. The difference is that a man's estate is assessed on the basis of his net assets, while a woman's estate is assessed on the basis of her net assets.

What is the difference between a man's estate and a woman's estate?—J. A. The difference is that a man's estate is assessed on the basis of his net assets, while a woman's estate is assessed on the basis of her net assets.

What is the difference between a man's estate and a woman's estate?—J. A. The difference is that a man's estate is assessed on the basis of his net assets, while a woman's estate is assessed on the basis of her net assets.

What is the difference between a man's estate and a woman's estate?—J. A. The difference is that a man's estate is assessed on the basis of his net assets, while a woman's estate is assessed on the basis of her net assets.

What is the difference between a man's estate and a woman's estate?—J. A. The difference is that a man's estate is assessed on the basis of his net assets, while a woman's estate is assessed on the basis of her net assets.

What is the difference between a man's estate and a woman's estate?—J. A. The difference is that a man's estate is assessed on the basis of his net assets, while a woman's estate is assessed on the basis of her net assets.

What is the difference between a man's estate and a woman's estate?—J. A. The difference is that a man's estate is assessed on the basis of his net assets, while a woman's estate is assessed on the basis of her net assets.

What is the difference between a man's estate and a woman's estate?—J. A. The difference is that a man's estate is assessed on the basis of his net assets, while a woman's estate is assessed on the basis of her net assets.

What is the difference between a man's estate and a woman's estate?—J. A. The difference is that a man's estate is assessed on the basis of his net assets, while a woman's estate is assessed on the basis of her net assets.

What is the difference between a man's estate and a woman's estate?—J. A. The difference is that a man's estate is assessed on the basis of his net assets, while a woman's estate is assessed on the basis of her net assets.

What is the difference between a man's estate and a woman's estate?—J. A. The difference is that a man's estate is assessed on the basis of his net assets, while a woman's estate is assessed on the basis of her net assets.

What is the difference between a man's estate and a woman's estate?—J. A. The difference is that a man's estate is assessed on the basis of his net assets, while a woman's estate is assessed on the basis of her net assets.

What is the difference between a man's estate and a woman's estate?—J. A. The difference is that a man's estate is assessed on the basis of his net assets, while a woman's estate is assessed on the basis of her net assets.

What is the difference between a man's estate and a woman's estate?—J. A. The difference is that a man's estate is assessed on the basis of his net assets, while a woman's estate is assessed on the basis of her net assets.

What is the difference between a man's estate and a woman's estate?—J. A. The difference is that a man's estate is assessed on the basis of his net assets, while a woman's estate is assessed on the basis of her net assets.

What is the difference between a man's estate and a woman's estate?—J. A. The difference is that a man's estate is assessed on the basis of his net assets, while a woman's estate is assessed on the basis of her net assets.

What is the difference between a man's estate and a woman's estate?—J. A. The difference is that a man's estate is assessed on the basis of his net assets, while a woman's estate is assessed on the basis of her net assets.

What is the difference between a man's estate and a woman's estate?—J. A. The difference is that a man's estate is assessed on the basis of his net assets, while a woman's estate is assessed on the basis of her net assets.

What is the difference between a man's estate and a woman's estate?—J. A. The difference is that a man's estate is assessed on the basis of his net assets, while a woman's estate is assessed on the basis of her net assets.

What is the difference between a man's estate and a woman's estate?—J. A. The difference is that a man's estate is assessed on the basis of his net assets, while a woman's estate is assessed on the basis of her net assets.

What is the difference between a man's estate and a woman's estate?—J. A. The difference is that a man's estate is assessed on the basis of his net assets, while a woman's estate is assessed on the basis of her net assets.

What is the difference between a man's estate and a woman's estate?—J. A. The difference is that a man's estate is assessed on the basis of his net assets, while a woman's estate is assessed on the basis of her net assets.

What is the difference between a man's estate and a woman's estate?—J. A. The difference is that a man's estate is assessed on the basis of his net assets, while a woman's estate is assessed on the basis of her net assets.

What is the difference between a man's estate and a woman's estate?—J. A. The difference is that a man's estate is assessed on the basis of his net assets, while a woman's estate is assessed on the basis of her net assets.

What is the difference between a man's estate and a woman's estate?—J. A. The difference is that a man's estate is assessed on the basis of his net assets, while a woman's estate is assessed on the basis of her net assets.

What is the difference between a man's estate and a woman's estate?—J. A. The difference is that a man's estate is assessed on the basis of his net assets, while a woman's estate is assessed on the basis of her net assets.

What is the difference between a man's estate and a woman's estate?—J. A. The difference is that a man's estate is assessed on the basis of his net assets, while a woman's estate is assessed on the basis of her net assets.

What is the difference between a man's estate and a woman's estate?—J. A. The difference is that a man's estate is assessed on the basis of his net assets, while a woman's estate is assessed on the basis of her net assets.

What is the difference between a man's estate and a woman's estate?—J. A. The difference is that a man's estate is assessed on the basis of his net assets, while a woman's estate is assessed on the basis of her net assets.

What is the difference between a man's estate and a woman's estate?—J. A. The difference is that a man's estate is assessed on the basis of his net assets, while a woman's estate is assessed on the basis of her net assets.

What is the difference between a man's estate and a woman's estate?—J. A. The difference is that a man's estate is assessed on the basis of his net assets, while a woman's estate is assessed on the basis of her net assets.

What is the difference between a man's estate and a woman's estate?—J. A. The difference is that a man's estate is assessed on the basis of his net assets, while a woman's estate is assessed on the basis of her net assets.

What is the difference between a man's estate and a woman's estate?—J. A. The difference is that a man's estate is assessed on the basis of his net assets, while a woman's estate is assessed on the basis of her net assets.

What is the difference between a man's estate and a woman's estate?—J. A. The difference is that a man's estate is assessed on the basis of his net assets, while a woman's estate is assessed on the basis of her net assets.

What is the difference between a man's estate and a woman's estate?—J. A. The difference is that a man's estate is assessed on the basis of his net assets, while a woman's estate is assessed on the basis of her net assets.

What is the difference between a man's estate and a woman's estate?—J. A. The difference is that a man's estate is assessed on the basis of his net assets, while a woman's estate is assessed on the basis of her net assets.

What is the difference between a man's estate and a woman's estate?—J. A. The difference is that a man's estate is assessed on the basis of his net assets, while a woman's estate is assessed on the basis of her net assets.

PARALYSIS IN CHILDREN.

An Affliction from Which the Little Ones Never Fully Recover.

It sometimes, though fortunately not often, happens that a child of from six months to four years of age suddenly becomes paralyzed in one or more limbs without any apparent cause. The natural conclusion of the friends and parents is that the nurse has let the child fall and thus injured his head or spine, and many a trained nurse has had her reputation ruined by such unjust censure. Physicians attribute this sudden paralysis to an inflammation of the anterior horns of the spinal cord, calling it technically polio myelitis anterior acuta, but they are in the dark as to the cause of the inflammation. In some cases it seems to be due to difficult teething; in other cases it follows an attack of scarlet fever, measles and the like; sometimes